



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. V1042/20002 5170 10/073,737 02/11/2002 Lawrence M. Buono EXAMINER 11/21/2003 3000 7590 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET 3763 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		10/073,737	BUONO, LAWRENCE M.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cris L. Rodriguez	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	10 September 2003.		
•	•	This action is non-final.		
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.			
•	7) Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 18-20</u> is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/073,737

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yaacobi et al (US 6,413,245).

Yaacobi discloses a cannula (fig. 2) to be used with a conventional syringe (not shown). The cannula has an orifice 64 to move fluid from a syringe reservoir through the orifice to an intra-ocular structure within the eye. The cannula is capable of delivering fluid into the anterior chamber of the eye.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, and 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmen (US 6,533,769) in view of Yaacobi et al and Silverman et al (US 5,478,328).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,737

Art Unit: 3763

Holmen discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Holmen discloses a syringe with a needle/cannula and methods to deliver fluid into the eye as claimed. Holmen also teaches the use of a dye into the eye. However, Holmen fails to show the kind of distal tip the needle has, and the dye being used as indigo carmine.

Yaacobi and Silverman teach cannulas and needles having closed distal tips to minimize vessel and tissue damage, and the orifice being in a location inward of the distal tip to prevent blockage. Given the teachings, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make Holmen's needle distal tip with one of the closed distal tips shown by Yaacobi and Silverman. Doing so would have prevented tissue damage and blockage during use. Furthermore, the selection of a dye such as indigo carmine would have been obvious, since the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6, 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,737

Art Unit: 3763

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clark, Lubeck et al, Chevalier, O'Malley et al, Hamacher, Alchas, Malmin, Cappucci, Fischer, and Pfleger.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

November 13, 2003

Cris L. Rodriguez

Examiner Art Unit 3763

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECTOR TO TENT TECTOR TECTOR TO TENT TECTOR TO TENT TECTOR TECTOR